

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,871	FUKUDA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura L. Stockton	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 80

(1) Laura L. Stockton. (3) _____.

(2) Amy E. Schmid {Reg. No. 55,965}. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2009
Time: 10:58am
Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 8 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) add an "a" before "carboxyl group" under the definition of R(1) in claim 1; (2) change "product" to "composition" in claim 6; (3) add "wherein the disease is diabetes" after "involving DPP-IV," in claim 8; and (4) cancel claim 9. Applicant was informed that the Restriction between the elected products of Group I and the methods of use {claims 8 and 9}, added in the Amendment of May 16, 2008 and indicated as withdrawn in the Office Action of August 22, 2008, pages 3-4, has been withdrawn.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

